# **Electrical Properties of the Cellular Transepithelial**  Pathway in *Necturus* Gallbladder: **III. Ionic Permeability of the Basolateral Cell Membrane**

Luis Reuss

Department of Physiology and Biophysics, Washington University School of Medicine, St. Louis, Missouri 63110

Received 7 November 1978; revised 11 January 1979

*Summary.* The ionic permeability of the basolateral membrane of *Necturus* gallbladder epithelium was studied with intracellular microelectrode techniques. After removal of most of the subepithelial tissue (to reduce unstirred layer thickness), impalements were performed from the serosal side, and ionic substitutions were made in the serosal solution while a microelectrode was kept in a cell. Thus, it was possible to obtain continuous (and reversible) records of transepithelial and cell membrane potentials and to measure intermittently the transepithelial resistance and the ratio of cell membrane resistances. From these data and the mean value of the equivalent resistance of the cell membranes in parallel (obtained from cable analysis in a different group of tissues), absolute cell membrane and shunt resistances and equivalent electromotive forces (emfs) were calculated. From the changes of basolateral membrane emf  $(E<sub>b</sub>)$  produced by the substitutions, the conductance  $(G)$  and permeability  $(P)$  of the membrane for K, Cl and Na were estimated. Potassium-for-sodium substitutions produced large reductions of both cell membrane potentials, of  $E_b$ , and of the resistance of the basolateral membrane  $(R_b)$ , indicating high  $G_K$  and  $P_K$ . Chloride substitution with isethionate or sulfate resulted in smaller changes of cell membrane potentials and  $E<sub>b</sub>$  and in no significant change of  $R<sub>b</sub>$ , indicating small but measurable values of  $G_{Cl}$  and  $P_{Cl}$ . Sodium substitutions with N-methyl-Dglucamine (NMDG) resulted in cell potential changes entirely attributable to the biionic potential produced in the shunt pathway ( $P_{\text{Na}} > P_{\text{NMDG}}$ ), and in no significant changes of  $R_b$  or  $E_b$ , indicating that  $G_{\text{Na}}$  and  $P_{\text{Na}}$  are undetectable. The question of the mechanism of C1 transport across the basolateral membrane was addressed by comparing the mean rate of transepithelial CI transport  $(J_{\text{Cl}}^{\text{net}})$  and the predicted passive CI flux across the basolateral membrane (from the membrane C1 conductance, potential, and C1 equilibrium potential). The conclusion is that only a very small fraction of the C1 flux across the basolateral membrane can be electrodiffusional. Since the paracellular C1 conductance is also too low to account for  $J_{\text{Cl}}^{\text{net}}$ , these results suggest the presence of a neutral mechanism of C1 extrusion from the cells. This could be a NaC1 pump, a downhill KC1 transport mechanism, or a  $Cl-HCO<sub>3</sub>$  exchange mechanism.

*Key words:* Gallbladder, epithelium, salt transport, chloride transport, membrane permeability.

To understand the mechanisms of ion transport by epithelia, it is necessary to determine the properties of the cell membranes, in particular their ionic permeabilities. A method suitable for this purpose is to measure changes of membrane potentials and resistances produced by alterations in the ionic composition of the media bathing the tissue *in vitro.* In leaky epithelia (Frömter  $\&$  Diamond, 1972) this analysis is far more complicated than in isolated cells for the following reasons: (i) The potential measured across a cell membrane depends not only on its active and passive properties, but also on the equivalent electromotive forces (emfs) and resistances of both the opposite cell membrane and the intercellular transepithelial pathway. This is due to the high conductance of the latter, which results in circular current flow within the epithelium (Boulpaep, 1971; Schultz, 1972). (ii) When the bathing medium is substituted only on side of the tissue, one may expect changes of the emf and resistance not only at the ipsilateral cell membrane, but also at the intercellular (shunt) pathway. (iii) The presence of sizable anatomic unstirred layers on the basolateral side of most of the epithelial tissues employed for studies *in vitro* (frog skin, intestine, urinary bladder, gallbladder) results in very slow changes of cell membrane potentials when the serosal (or inner) bathing medium is changed. The likelihood of alterations in cellular composition under these conditions makes the analysis difficult.

The experiments reported in this paper were undertaken to characterize the ionic permeability of the basolateral membrane of the gallbladder of *Necturus maculosus*. This epithelium is typically leaky (Frömter, 1972; Reuss & Finn, 1975a), and transports NaC1 isosmotically from mucosa to serosa (Hill & Hill, 1978b) at a mean rate of about  $12 \mu l \cdot cm^{-2} \cdot h^{-1}$ (Reuss, Bello-Reuss & Grady, 1979). The luminal cell membrane has high K permeability. Chloride and Na are less permeant (Reuss & Finn, 1975b; Van Os & Slegers, 1975).

The approach employed in this study was similar to the one used previously to characterize the luminal membrane (Reuss & Finn, 1975b), except that the cells were impaled from the serosal side after removal of most of the subepithelial layers. This procedure resulted in considerable shortening of the time required to reach steady-state changes in cell membrane potentials when the serosal solution was substituted.

The results indicate that the basolateral membrane has a high K permeability and a low C1 permeability. Sodium permeability is negligible. Even though the electrochemical gradient is favorable for passive C1 transport from cell to serosal solution, the low C1 permeability of the

membrane indicates that only a small fraction of the transepithelial C1 flux could result from simple diffusion across this membrane. These results are consistent with previous observations in *Necturus* and rabbit gallbladder (Hénin & Cremaschi, 1975; Reuss & Finn, 1975a; Van Os & Slegers, 1975). In those experiments, no attempt was made to reduce the anatomic serosal unstirred layer or to consider electrical parameters other than the cell membrane and transepithelial potentials.

#### **Materials and Methods**

*Necturi* were obtained from Mogul-Ed Co., Oshkosh, Wisc., and kept at 4 °C. The gallbladders were removed, opened, washed, and pinned to a cork ring, mucosa down, on top of a piece of dialysis membrane. The subepithelial tissue was removed under microscopic observation. The tissues were then mounted on a modified Ussing chamber (serosa up), and incubated in Ringer's solution (Na-Ringer) of the following composition (in mmol per liter): NaCl, 109.2; KCl, 2.5; CaCl<sub>2</sub>, 1.0; NaHCO<sub>3</sub>, 2.4. The pH was about 8.0 after equilibration with room air. All experiments were performed at  $24 + 1$  °C. NaCl in the serosal medium was replaced isosmotically with KC1 (K-Ringer), N-methyl-D glucamine (NMDG-Ringer), Na isethionate (Ise-Ringer) or  $Na<sub>3</sub>SO<sub>4</sub>$  (SO<sub>4</sub>-Ringer). Sucrose was added to  $SO_4$ -Ringer to keep a constant osmolarity. All solutions were titrated to a final pH equal to that of Na-Ringer. Since the K activity coefficient in  $Na<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub>$ solutions is lower than in NaCl solutions (Dietschy & Moore, 1964; Lewis, Wills & Eaton, 1978), the K activity in  $SO_4$ -Ringer was measured with a 93-19 K-selective electrode (Orion Research Inc., Cambridge, Mass.), and adjusted to the value in NaCI-Ringer.

#### *Electrical Measurements*

Potentials and resistances were measured as previously described (Reuss & Finn, *1975a, b;* 1977). Glass microelectrodes with inner fiber were filled with 3MKC1 or 4M potassium acetate and sometimes beveled by the technique of Odgen, Citron and Pierantoni (1978). Only electrodes with tip resistances greater than ca. 30 M $\Omega$  (usually 40) to 50  $\text{M}\Omega$ ) proved adequate for impalements from the serosal side. In most cases, a few layers of connective tissue remained after the dissection. Lower resistance microelectrodes broke, became plugged, or simply did not penetrate these layers. Extracellular potential measuring electrodes were Na-Ringer-agar or 3 M KCl-agar bridges connected to calomel half cells or Ag-AgCl pellets. Extracellular current-passing electrodes were Ag-AgC1 pellets connected to the bathing media with Na-Ringer-agar bridges. All potential measurements during substitutions were corrected for the respective liquid junction potentials as described before (Reuss, 1978).

Cell impalement were performed with motorized, remote control micromanipulators (Stoelting, Chicago, Ill.), under direct observation, at  $200-400 \times$ , with a MS inverted microscope (Nikon Inc., Garden City, N.Y.) or a Biovert inverted microscope (Reichert, Austria). Intracellular (apical membrane:  $V_{mc}$ , basolateral membrane:  $V_{cs}$ ) and transepithelial  $(V_{m_S})$  potentials *(see* Fig. 1) were measured with high impedance ( $>10^{12}\Omega$ ) electrometers, provided with digital readouts to  $0.1 \text{ mV}$ , and displayed on a storage



Fig. 1. Equivalent electrical circuit for *Necturus* gallbladder epithelium. Each element of the circuit is represented as a Thévenin equivalent, i.e., an equivalent electromotive force  $(E)$  in series with an equivalent resistance  $(R)$ . The subscripts refer to the apical membrane (a), the basolateral membrane (b), and the paracellular or shunt pathway  $(s)$ . The emf values can result, in principle, from diffusional mechanism and/or electrogenic pumps.  $E<sub>s</sub>$  is expected to have a very low value when the tissue is bathed with identical solutions on both sides *(see Discussion).* The right hand side of the figure defines the measured potentials: transepithelial  $(V_{m,s})$ , apical membrane  $(V_{m,c})$ , and basolateral membrane  $(V_{ss})$ 

oscilloscope (Tektronik, Beaverton, Ore.) and on a three-channel pen recorder (Brush 2400, Gould Inc., St. Louis, Mo.). The reference was the serosal solution.

The transepithelial resistance  $(R<sub>i</sub>)$  and the ratio of apical to basolateral membrane resistance  $(R_a/R_b)$  were measured from the deflections produced in  $V_{ms}$ ,  $V_{mc}$ , and  $V_{cs}$  by calibrated transepithelial current pulses, usually  $50\mu A \cdot cm^{-2}$ , after appropriate corrections for the voltage drops in the solutions.

#### *Experimemal Procedure*

Experiments were started at least 30min after mounting the tissue. Both bathing solutions were exchanged continuously. After an acceptable impalement was obtained, the serosal solution was changed from Na-Ringer to K-, Ise-,  $SO<sub>4</sub>$ - or NMDG-Ringer. The microelectrode was kept continuously in the cell, and  $V_{ms}$ ,  $V_{mc}$ ,  $V_{cs}$ ,  $R_t$  and  $R_a/R_b$  were recorded. After stable new values of cell potentials were obtained, the serosal solution was changed back to Na-Ringer. Only fully reversible records were accepted.

#### *Statisrics*

Direct data (potentials,  $R_t$  and  $R_a/R_b$ ) are reported as means  $\pm$  se. Calculated values of emfs and resistances were obtained from means in each group of experiments, as described in *Results.* Unless otherwise indicated, statistical comparisons were made by conventional paired data analysis.

#### **Results**

Removal of the subepithelial tissue resulted in a considerable reduction of unstirred layer delays upon substitutions of the serosal



Fig. 2. Effect of increasing serosal K concentration from 2.5 to 111.7mm (complete substitution of KCI for NaC1) on potentials and resistances. The records start with a microelectrode in a cell.  $V_{ms}$ ,  $V_{mc}$  and  $V_{cs}$  are the transepithelial, apical membrane, and basolateral membrane potential, respectively. Short vertical deflections are the voltage changes produced by transepithelial current pulses of  $25 \mu A \cdot cm^{-2}$ , at 15-sec intervals. During the period indicated by the bar, serosal Na-Ringer was replaced by K-Ringer.  $V_{mc}$ and  $V_{cs}$  decreased by about 54 and 59 mV, respectively.  $V_{ms}$  reversed from -0.6 to + 4.2 mV (reference, serosal solution). Note also the decrease of  $R_t$  during exposure to K-Ringer, as shown by smaller deflections of  $V_{ms}$ . Finally  $R_a/R_b$  decreased. After the seventh pulse, the serosal solution was changed back to Na-Ringer. All changes were reversible

solution. The time required for steady-state depolarization after increases of K activity in the serosal solution  $([K])$  was shortened from about 5 min to about 30 sec *(see* Fig. 2).

# *Effects of*  $[K]$ <sub>s</sub> on Membrane Potentials and Resistances

A typical record of cell membrane and transepithelial potentials before, during, and after an increase of  $[K]_{s}$  is shown in Fig. 2. Note that both cell membranes depolarized and that  $V_{ms}$  reversed (becoming mucosa positive). The transepithelial resistance fell and  $R_a/R_b$  rose during exposure to K-Ringer. All of these changes were completely reversible, even at this high  $[K]$ . The magnitude of the depolarization suggests a high basolateral membrane K permeability.

The results of twelve experiments in which K-Ringer was substituted for Na-Ringer are summarized in Table 1. As already shown in Fig. 2, the main observations are: large depolarization of both cell membranes, mucosa-positive change of  $V_{ms}$ , reduction of  $R_t$  and increase of  $R_a/R_b$ 

In five experiments, impalements were performed from the mucosal side in tissues in which no subepithelial dissection was performed. The steady-state  $V_{cs}$  change upon exposure to K-Ringer was not different from the value shown in Table 1 (59.5  $\pm$  1.9 mV, P > 0.2, nonpaired). In four of these experiments two distinct phases of depolarization could be observed: the first, fast, took about 30 sec and amounted to ca. two thirds of the final change; the second, slow, lasted for 5 min or more. The fact that the values at  $t \sim 5$  min are similar to the steady-state values obtained at a shorter time from serosal impalements indicates that the final value, and not the initial change, should be used to estimate the K conductance and permeability of the membrane.

Serosal	$V_{ms}$	$V_{mc}$	$V_{cs}$	$R_a/R_b$	к,
medium	(mV)	(mV)	(mV)		$(\Omega \cdot \text{cm}^2)$
Na-Ringer	$-0.8 + 0.2$	$-72.3 + 1.1$	$-73.1 + 1.2$	$2.13 + 0.24$	$160 + 8$
K-Ringer	$3.2 + 0.5$	$-14.1 + 1.6$	$-10.9 + 1.8$	$2.91 + 0.38$	$122 + 8$
$\boldsymbol{\Lambda}$	$-4.0 + 0.5$	$-58.2 + 1.5$	$-62.2 + 1.6$	$-0.78 + 0.16$	$38 + 5$
P	< 0.001	< 0.001	${}_{<0.001}$	< 0.001	< 0.001

Table 1. Effects of serosal K-Ringer on transepithelial and cell membrane potentials, ratio of cell membrane resistances, and transepithelial resistance

 $N = 12$  experiments. Measurements performed as described in Fig. 2. Mucosal bathing medium was Na-Ringer.  $V_{ms}$  referred to serosal solution.  $V_{mc}$  and  $V_{cs}$  referred to the corresponding bathing solution.



Fig, 3. Effect of exposure to K-free serosal solution on potentials and resistances, Notation as in Fig. 2. Exposure to K-free solution (indicated by lower line) results in hyperpolarization of both cell membranes and a slight reduction of transepithelial potential.  $R_t$  and  $R_d/R_b$  increase. Interval between pulses: 10 sec. All changes are reversible

Finally, in three experiments in nonstripped tissues the serosal solution was substituted by Na-Ringer containing no K. In all three cases an increase of  $V_{cs}$  of ca. 20 mV was observed. An example is shown in Fig. 3. Note that both  $V_{cs}$  and  $V_{mc}$  increase,  $V_{ms}$  also increases,  $R_t$  rises, and  $R_a/R_b$  falls moderately. All of these results are in agreement with those from the high-K experiments.

# *Effects of* [Na]<sub>s</sub> on *Membrane Potentials and Resistances*

Replacements of serosal Na with the large cation NMDG resulted in a larger change of transepithelial potential than of cell membrane potentials (Table 2). As seen also in Table 2,  $R_a/R_b$  was unchanged by exposure to NMDG-Ringer, whereas, in contrast,  $R_t$  (and therefore  $R_s$ ) increased significantly. It will be shown that all of these effects can be explained by the larger shunt permeability for Na as compared to NMDG, and that the basolateral membrane permeability for Na is, with this method, undetectable.

Serosal	$V_{ms}$	$V_{mc}$	$V_{cs}$	$R_a/R_b$	ĸ,
medium	(mV)	(mV)	(mV)		$(\Omega \cdot \text{cm}^2)$
Na-Ringer	$-0.6 + 0.1$	$-68.2 + 2.4$	$-68.7 + 2.4$	$1.85 + 0.20$	$171 + 5$
	NMDG-Ringer $-14.8 \pm 0.8$	$-58.1 + 2.3$	$-72.9 + 1.8$	$1.91 + 0.18$	$245 + 1$
Δ	$+14.2 + 0.7$	$-10.1 + 0.7$	$+4.2 + 1.0$	$-0.06 + 0.05$	$-74+1$
P	< 0.001	< 0.001	< 0.005	NS.	< 0.001

Table 2. Effects of serosal NMDG-Ringer on transepithelial and cell membrane potentials, ratio of cell membrane resistances, and transepithelial resistance

 $N=11$  experiments. Mucosal bathing medium was Na-Ringer. Polarities are as defined in Table 1.

Table 3. Effects of serosal C1 substitutions on transepithelial and cell membrane potentials, ratio of cell membrane resistances, and transepithelial resistance

Serosal	$V_{ms}$	$V_{mc}$	$V_{cs}$	$R_a/R_b$	$R_{\tau}$
medium	(mV)	(mV)	(mV)		$(\Omega \cdot \text{cm}^2)$
Na-Ringer	$-0.5 + 0.1$	$-67.8 + 3.1$	$-68.3 + 3.0$	$2.15 + 0.31$	$161 + 7$
Ise-Ringer	$+2.7+0.6$	$-67.3 + 2.7$	$-64.6 + 3.0$	$2.09 + 0.30$	$182 + 5$
$\Lambda$	$-3.2 + 0.6$	$-0.5 + 1.1$	$-3.7 + 0.9$	$0.06 + 0.14$	$-21+3$
P	< 0.005	NS	< 0.025	NS.	< 0.001
Na-Ringer	$-0.4 + 0.2$	$-64.6 + 2.1$	$-65.1 + 2.2$	$1,66+0.29$	$180 + 26$
$SO_4$ -Ringer	$+2.6 + 1.0$	$-64.7 + 1.7$	$-62.1 + 1.5$	$1.62 + 0.31$	$188 + 28$
Δ	$-3.0 + 0.4$	$0.1 + 1.2$	$3.0 + 1.0$	$0.04 + 0.06$	$-8+2$
$\boldsymbol{P}$	< 0.005	NS	< 0.05	NS	NS.

 $N=7$  experiments (Ise-Ringer), 5 experiments (SO<sub>4</sub>-Ringer). Mucosal bathing medium was Na-Ringer. Polarities are as defined in Table 1.

#### *Effects of* [CI]~ *on Membrane Potentials and Resistances*

The results of changes of serosal C1 activity  $([CI]_s)$  are shown in Table 3.  $V_{cs}$  decreased by 3.7 mV when all NaCl was replaced with sodium isethionate, and by 3.0 mV when sodium sulfate was employed to replace NaC1.

These results suggest a small, but finite, basolateral membrane C1 permeability. Note, however, that the transepithelial potential change was of similar magnitude. This indicates a higher shunt permeability for C1 than for isethionate or sulfate.

*Effects of* [K]<sub>s</sub>, [Na]<sub>s</sub> and [Cl]<sub>s</sub> on *Basolateral Membrane Equivalent* emf

Absolute values of cell membrane and shunt resistances are necessary to calculate the changes of basolateral membrane emf  $(E<sub>b</sub>)$  produced by

external ionic substitutions. With serosal impalements, cable analysis is not possible. Therefore, to estimate the changes of  $E<sub>b</sub>$ , the following procedure was employed: cable analysis was performed, with impalements from the mucosal side, in eight tissues, during the same period of the year as the experiments reported here. The mean value of equivalent resistance of the cell membranes in parallel  $(R<sub>z</sub>)$  was employed, together with the mean values of  $R_t$  and  $R_a/R_b$  obtained in Na-Ringer, in the tissues impaled from the serosal side, to calculate control values of  $R_a$ ,  $R_b$ and  $R_s$  (shunt resistance), as previously described (Reuss & Finn, 1975a, Eqs. (4)-(6); *see also* Fig. 1).

From  $R_a$ ,  $R_b$  and  $R_s$ , and the measured potentials, the cell membrane emf's (apical,  $E_a$ ; basolateral  $E_b$ ) were calculated (Reuss & Finn, 1975a, Eqs. (10) and (11)). These equations are correct if  $E<sub>s</sub>$  (shunt emf) is zero when the tissue is bathed with Na-Ringer in both sides. For a justification of this assumption, *see Discussion* (and Reuss & Finn, 1975a).

Upon going to a new serosal bathing medium, changes of both the emf and resistance of both the basolateral membrane and the shunt can take place. The luminal membrane, however, will not be immediately affected. Assuming that  $R_a$  remains constant, the values of  $R_b$  and  $R_s$  can be calculated as follows:

$$
a' = R_a/R_b' \tag{1}
$$

$$
R_s^{\prime -1} = R_t^{\prime -1} - (R_a + R_b^{\prime})^{-1}
$$
 (2)

where ' indicates values in the substituted serosal solution. The new values of  $E_b$  and  $E_s$  are given by

$$
E'_{b} = \frac{V'_{cs}(R_{a} + R'_{b}) - R'_{b}(E_{a} + V'_{ms})}{R_{a}}
$$
\n(3)

$$
E'_{s} = V'_{ms} + (E_a - V'_{mc}) \frac{R'_{s}}{R_a}.
$$
 (4)

The derivation of Eqs. (3) and (4) is outlined in the *Appendix.* 

The change of  $E<sub>b</sub>$  produced by the ionic substitution can be employed to estimate the ion-dependent partial potential ratio  $(T<sub>i</sub>)$ :

$$
T_i = \frac{\Delta E_b}{\frac{RT}{zF} \ln \frac{C_1}{C_2}}
$$
 (5)

where  $\Delta E_b$  is the change of  $E_b$  produced by the substitution and  $C_1$  and  $C<sub>2</sub>$  are the external activities of the *i*th ion before and after the change in solution *(see* Reuss & Finn, 1975b).

From  $T_i$ , the partial ionic conductance  $(G_i)$  and the ionic permeability coefficient  $(P_i)$  can be calculated:

$$
P_i = \frac{RTG_i}{z^2 \ \overline{C}_i \ F^2} \tag{6}
$$

where  $G_i$  is the partial conductance of the *i*th ion (=  $T_i \cdot G_b$ ;  $G_b = R_b^{-1}$ ),  $\tilde{C}_i$  is the mean activity of the ith ion, and R, T, z and F have their usual meanings. Equation (6) is used under the assumptions of constant field and no contribution of electrogenic pumps to the potentials *(see Discussion).* 

The method described above is strictly valid only for small changes around the baseline potentials and for small concentration changes. The former condition was fulfilled when C1 was replaced or when NMDG was substituted for Na, but not when K-Ringer was used to replace Na-Ringer. Therefore, a series of experiments was done in which the tissues were exposed to four different serosal K activities, keeping  $K + Na$ constant and Cl constant. Since  $P_{\text{Na}}$  across the basolateral membrane is zero *(see above)*, an independent estimate of  $P_{\text{C}}/P_K$  was obtained, assuming constant field, no electrogenic pumps, and  $T_{K} + T_{Cl} = 1$ :

$$
\Delta E_b = \frac{RT}{zF} \ln \frac{K_o^i + P'CI_i}{K_o^j + P'CI_i}
$$
\n(7a)

where  $K_a^i$  and  $K_b^f$  are the initial and final K activities in the serosal solution, P' is  $P_{\text{Cl}}/P_{\text{Na}}$ , Cl<sub>i</sub> is the intracellular Cl activity and R, T, z, and F have their usual meanings. The mean value of  $Cl<sub>i</sub>$  in a different group of tissues (Reuss, 1979) was employed.  $Cl<sub>i</sub>$  was assumed to remain constant during the time required for the measurement.

Because of the small changes of potentials observed during C1 and Na substitutions (Tables2 and 3) it was not possible to study the effect of small changes in the activity of these ions. This experimental limitation is unimportant in Na-NMDG substitutions, because  $E<sub>b</sub>$  did not change *(see* below). Its significance in C1 substitutions will be discussed later.

# *Dependence of*  $E_b$  *on*  $[K]$ <sub>*s*</sub>

The results of K for Na substitutions were employed to calculate the values shown in Table 4. During exposure to K-Ringer,  $E<sub>b</sub>$  decreased by

	Serosal medium	$R_a$ $(\Omega \cdot \text{cm}^2)$	$R_{\rm k}$ $(\Omega \cdot \text{cm}^2)$	$(\Omega \cdot \text{cm}^2)$	$E_{a}$ (mV)	$E_{\scriptscriptstyle\rm B}$ (mV)	E, (mV)
$A$ <sup>a</sup>	Na-Ringer K-Ringer	5480 5480	2570 1880	163 124	$-45.4$ $-454$	$-85.7$ $-0.2$	0 2.5
$B$ <sup>b</sup>	Na-Ringer NMDG-Ringer 4990	4990	2700 2600	175 253	$-54.0$ $-54.0$	$-764$ $-75.1$	0 $-14.6$

Table 4. Calculated resistances and electromotive forces during exposure of the serosal side to Na-Ringer or K-Ringer  $(A)$ , or to Na-Ringer or NMDG-Ringer  $(B)$ 

<sup>a</sup> Data calculated from means in Table 1 as described in *Methods.*  $N=12$  experiments. From the  $E_b$  change,  $T_K = 0.94$ .  $E_s$  referred to serosal solution.  $E_a$  and  $E_b$  referred to the corresponding bathing solution.

<sup>b</sup> Values calculated from means in Table 2.  $T_{\text{Na}} = -0.01$  (not significantly different from zero if calculated for individual tissues).

85.5 mV (yielding a mean basolateral  $T_K$  of 0.94) and  $E_s$  changed by 2.5 mV (mucosa positive), indicating that across the shunt  $P_K > P_{Na}$ , as observed previously (Reuss & Finn, 1975a, Van Os and Slegers, 1975). The computation of  $T_K$  from  $\Delta E_h$  during Na- substitutions assumes implicitly that Na does not contribute to the potential (i.e., that  $T_{\text{Na}} = 0$ ). Support for this assumption was provided by Na-NMDG substitution



Fig. 4. Basolateral membrane potential  $(V_{cs},$  open circles) and basolateral membrane equivalent emf ( $E<sub>b</sub>$ , filled circles) as functions of serosal K activity. Values for each K activity obtained in the same cell.  $N = 4$  tissues. Points were joined by straight lines

experiments *(see below)*. The changes of  $R_b$  and  $R_s$  produced by exposure to K-Ringer (Table 4) are confirmatory of a high  $P_K$  (as compared to  $P_{N_a}$ ) at both the basolateral membrane and the shunt.

In four experiments, the tissue was exposed to four different solutions in which NaCl was replaced with KCl, keeping both  $(Na + K)$  and Cl activities constant. The results are shown in Fig. 4.  $V_{cs}$  and  $E_b$  are plotted as functions of external K activity. As expected, the membrane potential changes are smaller than the membrane emf changes. The reason is current flow, through the intercellular pathway, from apical to basolateral membrane, which results in an *IR* drop in the latter  $(= E_a \cdot R_b / (Ra \cdot n))$  $+R_b+R_s$ ). From  $AE_b$  in each K activity range  $P_{C}$ / $P_K$  was calculated from Eq. (7), inserting Cl<sub>i</sub> = 36 mm (Reuss, 1979). The values were 0.02, 0, and 0.02 in the low, middle, and high K-activity ranges, respectively. These values are in good agreement with the results shown in Tables 4 and 5 *(see* below).

# *Dependence of*  $E_b$  *on* [Na].

The calculated changes of emfs during exposure to NMDG-Ringer indicate that the basolateral membrane is essentially impermeable to Na (mean  $T_{\text{Na}} = -0.01$ , not different from zero if calculated separately for each tissue). The shunt emf, in contrast, changed by 14.6mV (mucosa negative) indicating that across the shunt  $P_{\text{Na}} > P_{\text{NMDG}}$ . The observation of a basolateral membrane Na permeability as low as that of the large cation NMDG lends support to the assumption of  $T_{\text{Na}} = 0$  when calculating  $T_K$  and  $P_{Cl}/P_K$  from K-Na substitutions *(see above)*.

# *Dependence of*  $E_b$  *on*  $\left[\text{Cl}\right]_s$

Resistances and emf values calculated from the data in Table 3 are summarized in Table 5.  $T_{\text{Cl}}$ , computed from these data, was 0.06 in the isethionate series and 0.04 in the sulfate series, i.e., more than one order of magnitude lower than  $T_{\rm K}$ . The lack of significant changes of  $R_b$  in SO<sub>4</sub>- or Ise-Ringer agrees with the low value of  $T_{\text{Cl}}$  calculated from the changes of  $E<sub>b</sub>$ . Finally, the increase of  $R<sub>s</sub>$  with both replacements indicates a higher shunt permeability for C1 than for sulfate or isethionate.

Serosal	$R_a$	R <sub>b</sub>	К.	E,	$E_h$	$E_{s}$
medium	$(\Omega \cdot \text{cm}^2)$	$(\Omega$ · cm <sup>2</sup> )	$(\Omega \cdot \text{cm}^2)$	(mV)	(mV)	(mV)
Na-Ringer	5510	2560	164	$-51.0$	$-76.1$	0
Ise-Ringer	5510	2640	186	$-51.0$	$-72.4$	3.3
Na-Ringer	4660	2000	184	$-52.0$	$-72.7$	$\theta$
$SO_4$ -Ringer	4660	2870	193	$-52.0$	$-69.9$	3.1

Table 5. Calculated resistances and electromotive forces before and during CI substitutions on the serosal side

Values calculated from means in Table 3.  $T_{\text{Cl}}=0.06$  (isethionate substitutions),  $T_{\text{Cl}}$  $= 0.04$  (sulfate substitutions). Polarities are as defined in Table 4.

#### **Discussion**

The results described above indicate that the basolateral cell membrane of *Necturus* gallbladder epithelium is highly permeable to K, moderately permeable to C1, and impermeable to Na. Quantitative analysis of the data and calculations of transference numbers and permeability coefficients required several assumptions that should be discussed.

To solve the circuit equations under control conditions it was necessary to assume that  $E<sub>s</sub>=0$  when the tissue is bathed with identical solutions on both sides. This assumption would appear to contradict the standing osmotic gradient hypothesis, which postulates a higher NaC1 concentration in the intercellular spaces than in the mucosal bathing medium (resulting from active salt transport into the spaces). Since the limiting junctions are cation selective  $(P_{\text{Na}} > P_{\text{Cl}})$ , Reuss & Finn, 1975a-b) the concentration gradient would result in a mucosa-positive diffusion potential and  $E<sub>s</sub>$  would not be zero. Early calculations by Machen and Diamond (1969) for the rabbit gallbladder predicted a 10 mm NaCl concentration gradient. However, recent evidence, which indicates that the osmotic water permeability of the gallbladder is one to two orders of magnitude greater than estimated from steady-state osmotic water fluxes, suggests strongly that the gradient must be considerably smaller (Wright, Smulders & Tormey, 1972). In addition, if  $E<sub>s</sub> \neq 0$  under control conditions, the absolute values of  $E_a$  and  $E_b$  are different from those calculated, but their changes upon unilateral ionic substitutions are essentially the same (Reuss & Finn, 1975b).

A second assumption is that serosal substitutions do not alter the properties of the luminal membrane, within the time frame of these observations. Circumstantial evidence in favor of this assumption includes: (i) the lack of sizable changes in cell potential after the initial change *(see* Fig. 2), and (ii) the observation of only slow changes in intracellular ionic activities (in minutes) upon large alterations of external ionic concentrations (L. Reuss and S. Weinman, *unpublished* results).

Finally, for the calculation of ionic permeability coefficients it was assumed that the membrane potentials are entirely diffusional, i.e., that no electrogenic (rheogenic) pumps contribute to them. There is no published suggestion of any electrogenic pump located at the luminal membrane of gallbladder epithelium, but the possibility of a basolateral electrogenic Na pump has been advanced (Moreno & Diamond, 1976; Rose & Nahrwold, 1976; Rose, 1978). We have recently shown that in *Necturus* gallbladder ouabain insignificantly depolarizes the basolateral membrane at a time at which net fluid transport is completely blocked (Reuss *et al.,* 1979). Furthermore, when depolarization does occur, it can be accounted for by a fall in intracellular K activity and a reduction of basolateral membrane K permeability. The latter observation is consistent with findings in frog skin (Helman & Nagel, 1977). In sum, the possibility of a large electrogenic component of  $E<sub>b</sub>$  seems unlikely. A "small" electrogenic pump would not alter qualitatively the conclusions concerning the mechanism of C1 transport across the basolateral membrane *(see* below).

## *Basolateral Membrane Ionic Permeability Coefficients*

From the mean values of transference numbers and basolateral membrane conductances, ionic permeability coefficients  $(P_i)$  were calculated according to Eq. (6). For the calculation of the mean ionic activities, the following intracellular values were employed  $(mm)$ : Na, 19; C1, 36; K, 90. These are means obtained with liquid ion exchanger microelectrodes (Reuss, 1979). The Na results agree well with the observations of J. Graf and G. Giebisch *(personal communication),* K.R. Spring *(personal communication),* and Zeuthen (1978) when the microelectrode was positioned near the basolateral membrane. The C1 result is comparable to the mean value of 30 mm found in rabbit gallbladder by Duffey *et al.* (1978).

The mean permeability coefficients calculated from the data in Tables 4 and 5 were:  $P_K = 4.01$  and  $P_{Cl} = 0.11 \times 10^{-6}$  cm·sec<sup>-1</sup>. The mean value of  $P_{\text{C}i}/P_{\text{K}}$  is 0.03, in good agreement with the range obtained from partial substitutions of K for Na. In these experiments (Fig. 4)  $P_{C1}/P_K$ ranged from 0 to 0.02.

These values are subject to uncertainties because of the possibility of cumulative errors in the measurements of cell membrane potentials and resistances and intracellular ionic activities. Some of these uncertainties have already been discussed. It should be clear, however, that regardless of the precision of the estimates of absolute permeability coefficients, the relative value of  $P_{C1}$  (referred to  $P_K$ ) was low in two independent series of experiments. To calculate  $P_{\text{Cl}}/P_K$  from the data shown in Fig. 4 it was assumed that  $T_{\text{Na}} = 0$ , assumption supported by the results of NMDG for Na substitutions. It is possible, however, that a small, but finite  $T_{\text{Na}}$ was not detected in these experiments. If in fact  $P_{\text{Na}} > 0$ , Eq. (7*a*) is incorrect, and  $P'(P_{C}/P_K)$  should be calculated from

$$
\Delta E_0 = \frac{RT}{zF} \ln \frac{K_o^i + P'CI_i + P'' \text{Na}_o^i}{K_o^f + P'CI_i + P'' \text{Na}_o^f}
$$
(7b)

where  $P'' = P_{\text{Na}}/P_K$ .

It can be shown that  $P'$  decreases if  $P''$  is assumed to be larger than zero. Therefore, the main conclusion derived from these experiments, i.e., the basolateral membrane has a low C1 permeability, is independent of this assumption.

## *Mechanism of Salt Transport across the Basolateral Membrane*

Sodium transport across the basolateral membrane is uphill, since it takes place against an electrochemical potential difference of about 2.47 kcal/mole ( $V_{cs} = -68.7$  mV,  $E_{Na} = 37.8$  mV). Chloride transport, however, might be passive, since the intracellular C1 activity is well above equilibrium (measured activity, 36 mm; equilibrium activity, 8 mm). Cl efflux is downhill, with an electrochemical potential difference of about 1.05 kcal/mole  $(V<sub>cs</sub> = -68.3 \text{ mV}, E<sub>CI</sub> = -22.8 \text{ mV})$ . The most important conclusion in this paper, in agreement with the calculations of Van Os and Slegers (1975) is that the basolateral membrane  $G_{\text{c}i}$  is too low to explain C1 extrusion on the basis of simple diffusion. The mean rate of fluid transport (J~) across the wall of *Necturus* gallbladder is 12.7  $\mu$ l. cm<sup>-2</sup>. h<sup>-1</sup> (Reuss *et al.*, 1979). Since transport is isosmotic (Hill & Hill, 1978b), the net transepithelial Cl flux  $(J_{\text{Cl}}^{\text{net}})$  can be calculated to be 0.38 neq  $\cdot$  cm<sup>-2</sup>  $\cdot$  sec<sup>-1</sup> or 38  $\mu$ A  $\cdot$  cm<sup>-2</sup>. Assuming that salt transport is

entirely transcellular, this is the *minimum* cell-to-serosa C1 flux, since it is the *net* flux across the membrane. We can compare this flux with the passive flux  $(J_{\text{Cl}}^p)$  predicted from  $V_{\text{cs}}$ ,  $E_{\text{Cl}}$ , and the Cl conductance of the membrane:

$$
J_{\text{Cl}}^P = (V_{cs} - E_{\text{Cl}}) G_{\text{Cl}} \tag{8}
$$

where  $E_{\text{C1}}$  is the Cl equilibrium potential across the basolateral membrane and  $G_{\text{C1}}$  is the Cl conductance of the membrane. Since  $(V_{cs}-E_{\text{C1}})$  =  $-45.5$  mV, and  $G_{\text{Cl}}(=G_b \cdot T_{\text{Cl}})$  is 23.4  $\mu$ mho $\cdot$  cm<sup>-2</sup>,  $J_{\text{Cl}}^P = -1.1 \mu$ A $\cdot$  cm<sup>-2</sup>, where the negative sign indicates flux from cell to serosal solution. This calculated  $J_{\text{Cl}}$  value represents only 3% of the measured  $J_{\text{Cl}}^{\text{net}}$ . The potential sources of error in this calculation should be examined. Three parameters are employed: basolateral membrane resistance, C1 transference number, and C1 electrochemical gradient. The present value of basolateral membrane resistance  $(2,560\Omega \cdot \text{cm}^2, \text{Table 3})$  compares well with previously published mean values of 2,880 (Frömter, 1972) and 2,750 (Reuss & Finn, 1975a). If  $G<sub>b</sub>$  were larger by a factor of ten, still only 30% of  $J_{\text{C1}}^{\text{net}}$  could be ascribed to simple diffusion. The same argument holds for  $T_{\text{C}1}$ . The estimated value could be in error because it was determined over a large change of C1 activity *(see* above). However, even if  $T_{\text{Cl}} = 1$  (i.e., if the membrane were a perfect Cl electrode) only 30  $\frac{9}{6}$ of  $J_{\text{CI}}^{\text{net}}$  could be diffusional. From the observations of Van Os and Slegers (1975) in *Necturus* gallbladder,  $T_{\text{CI}}$  would appear to be even smaller than calculated here. Finally, the quoted intracellular C1 activity value could be in error. However, if anything, the C1 activity in the cell is probably lower, because the measurements quoted were not corrected for the response of the Cl-sensitive microelectrode to other intracellular anions. As shown by Spring and Kimura (1978) in *Necturus* proximal tubule, an "intracellular Cl activity" of ca. 6 mm is measured after prolonged removal of external C1, at a time when tissue C1 concentration is essentially zero. In addition, our intracellular C1 activity measurements are in excellent agreement with the values reported recently for rabbit gallbladder (Duffey *et al.,* 1978). Finally, even assuming that the only intracellular anion is Cl (and therefore that  $a Cl_i \sim 100 \text{ mm}$ ),  $J_{\text{Cl}}$  would still be only  $1.6 \mu A \cdot cm^{-2}$ , i.e., only  $4\%$  of  $J^{\text{net}}$ . In sum, the magnitude of the discrepancy between the measured transepithelial net C1 flux and the predicted diffusional C1 flux across the basolateral membrane is such that only large errors in all three parameters would prove the conclusion to be wrong. It appears safe, therefore, to conclude that C1 transport across the basolateral membrane is not by simple diffusion, but could result

from a neutral C1 transport mechanism, as first proposed by Diamond (1962). This could be a neutral NaC1 pump, in parallel with a Na-K exchange pump, similar to the scheme proposed by Whittembury (1971) for renal proximal tubule, a "downhill" KC1 cotransport mechanism, or a Cl-HCO<sub>3</sub> exchange system in parallel with a Na-K pump. The stimulation of fluid transport by bicarbonate in rabbit gallbladder (Diamond, 1964; Martin, 1974; Martin & Murphy, 1974) is consistent with this view. Such a mechanism would require  $HCO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup>$  recycling through the membrane (Duffey *et al.,* 1978) since the gallbladder does not secrete HCO~- but absorbs it (Diamond, 1964; Wheeler, 1963; *see also* Cremaschi & Hénin, 1975).

# *Paracellular CI Transport*

An objection to the preceding considerations is that a sizable fraction of  $J_{\text{c}t}^{\text{net}}$  could be paracellular. Since the transepithelial potential is mucosa-negative,  $J_{\text{C1}}$  through the shunt could be driven by  $V_{ms}(J'_{\text{C1}})$ . In addition, if part of fluid transport is through the shunt, C1 could also be transported by entrainment of water and salt fluxes in the junctions, i.e., by solvent drag  $(J_{\text{Cl}}'')$ .

The possibility of a sizable paracellular  $J_{\text{c}}$  driven by the transepithelial potential is ruled out by the following calculation:

$$
J'_{\rm Cl} = V_{ms} G_{\rm Cl}^* \tag{9}
$$

where  $G_{\text{Cl}}^*$  is the paracellular Cl conductance.

From  $T_{\text{Cl}}$  (shunt)=0.2 (Reuss, 1978),  $R_s = 160 \,\Omega \cdot \text{cm}^2$  (Tables 2 and 4), and  $V_{ms}=0.8 \text{ mV}$  (maximum mean  $V_{ms}$  value, Table 1),  $J'_{\text{Cl}}=1 \mu \text{A} \cdot \text{cm}^{-2}$ . Suzuki and Frömter (1977) found lower values of transepithelial resistance than previously reported (mean =  $55 \Omega \cdot cm^2$ ). If their value were correct,  $J_{\text{Cl}}$  would be ca. 3  $\mu$ A·cm<sup>-2</sup>, i.e., still only 8% of  $J_{\text{Cl}}^{\text{net}}$ . In rabbit gallbladder, the transepithelial potential is oriented in the wrong direction to account for net Cl absorption through the shunt (Cremaschi  $\&$  Hénin, 1975; Frizzell, Dugas & Schultz, 1975).

Solvent drag is a possibility that cannot be analyzed with certainty at the present time, because of lack of information on both the relative magnitudes of transcellular and paracellular water fluxes and the C1 reflection coefficient at the junction.  $J_{\text{Cl}}^{\prime\prime}$  is described by the equation:

$$
J''_{\rm Cl} = \beta \times J_v (1 - \sigma_{\rm Cl}) \, C_{\rm Cl} \tag{10}
$$

where  $\beta$  is the fraction of  $J_{\nu}$  which flows through the junctions,  $\sigma_{C_1}$  is the C1 reflection coefficient and  $C_{\text{c}1}$  is the mean C1 concentration.

For known values of  $J_{v}$  and  $C_{\text{Cl}}$ ,  $J''_{\text{Cl}}$  depends on  $\beta$  and  $\sigma_{\text{Cl}}$ . In order to ascribe to this mechanism more than 90% of  $J_{\text{c}1}^{\text{net}}$  (only in this condition could all of  $J_{\text{c1}}$  at the basolateral membrane be passive), the product  $\beta$  (1  $-\sigma_{c}$  would have to be at least 0.9. In other words, solvent drag could account for the fraction of  $J_{\text{Cl}}^{\text{net}}$  which is not explained by passive transport through the basolateral membrane only if the two following conditions were fulfilled: (i) at least  $90\%$  of the transepithelial fluid transport is paracellular, and (ii) C1 reflection coefficient of the junctions is at most 0.1. It is not possible to rule out at present these possibilities, but they appear highly unlikely.  $\sigma_{c}$  in proximal tubule of the kidney (a tissue with far greater paracellular permeabilities for Na and C1 than the gallbladder) has been estimated to be about 0.7 (Schafer, Patlak  $\&$ Andreoli, 1975).

Hill and Hill (1978a) have suggested that most of transepithelial fluid transport is paracellular, based on measurements of the rates of fluid and sucrose absorption in gallbladders of *Necturus.* These authors estimated a  $\sigma_{\text{surrose}}$  of 0.05. However, their experiments were done in hyposmotic media (50mosmol/kg) and the possibility of an abnormally large tissue permeability under these conditions was not ruled out.

## *Comparison with Mammalian Gallbladder*

The results reported here agree in most respects with those obtained with electrophysiological and radioactive tracer techniques in rabbit gallbladder. Large effects of external K on basolateral membrane potential were demonstrated by Hénin and Cremaschi (1975) and Van Os and Slegers (1975). Cremaschi and Hénin (1975) reported that radioactive Cl applied to the serosal medium does not enter the cells, unless the membrane potential is reduced. Sodium did not enter the cells from the serosal side in their experiments. As mentioned before, Hénin and Cremaschi (1975) also found that C1 replacement in the external media did not alter the cell membrane potential significantly. The overall evidence, therefore, suggests that in rabbit gallbladder, as in *Necturus,* C1 transport across the basolateral membrane is not by simple diffusion.

I thank P.J. De Weer for his comments on a preliminary version of this manuscript. I am grateful for the technical assistance of T. Grady and the secretarial help of J. Jones.

This work was supported by Grant No. AM-19580 from the National Institute of Arthritis, Metabolism and Digestive Diseases.

## **Appendix**

# *Derivation of Equation for the Calculation of*  $E<sub>b</sub>$  *and*  $E<sub>s</sub>$ *during Ionic Substitutions in the Serosal Bathing Medium*

For definition of symbols, *see* Fig. 1. Shortly after a substitution in the serosal solution only, the new values of transepithelial and cell membrane potentials are given by:

$$
V'_{ms} = \frac{(E'_b - E_a)R'_s + E'_s(R_a + R'_b)}{R_a + R'_b + R'_s} \tag{A1}
$$

$$
V'_{mc} = \frac{E_a (R'_b + R'_s) + R_a (E'_b - E'_s)}{R_a + R'_b + R'_s}
$$
 (A2)

$$
V'_{cs} = \frac{E'_b (R_a + R'_s) + R'_b (E_a + E'_s)}{R_a + R'_b + R'_s}
$$
(A3)

where the polarities are defined as follows:  $V_{ms}$  and  $E_s$ ; mucosal solutionserosal solution;  $V_{mc}$  and  $E_a$ , cell-mucosal solution;  $V_{cs}$  and  $E_b$ , cellserosal solution. The primes stand for values measured during exposure to the new serosal solution.  $R_a$  and  $E_a$  are assumed to remain constant during the brief time required for the measurement *(see Discussion).* 

Equation (A1) can be rewritten:

$$
E'_{s} = \frac{V'_{ms}(R_{a} + R'_{b} + R'_{s}) - (E'_{b} - E_{a})R'_{s}}{R_{a} + R'_{b}}.
$$
 (A4)

Combining Eqs.  $(A4)$  and  $(A3)$ :

$$
E'_{b} = \frac{V_{cs}(R_a + R'_b) - R'_b(E_a + V'_{ms})}{R_a}
$$
 (A5)

which is Eq. (3) *(see Results).* 

Combining Eqs.  $(A5)$  and  $(A2)$ :

$$
E'_{s} = V'_{ms} + (E_a - V'_{mc}) \frac{R'_{s}}{R_a}
$$
 (A6)

which is Eq. (4) *(see Results).* 

# **References**

- Boulpaep, E.L. 1971. Electrophysiological properties of the proximal tubule: Importance of cellular and intercellular transport pathways. *In:* Electrophysiology of Epithelial Cells. G. Giebisch, editor, p. 91. Schattauer-Verlag, Stuttgart
- Cremaschi, D., Hénin, S. 1975. Na<sup>+</sup> and Cl<sup>-</sup> transepithelial routes in rabbit gallbladder. Tracer analysis of the transports. *Pfluegers Arch.* 361:33
- Diamond, J.M. 1962. The mechanism of solute transport by the gallbladder, *J. Physiol. (London)* 161:474
- Diamond, J.M. 1964. Transport of salt and water in rabbit and guinea pig gallbladder. J. *Gen. Physiol.* 48:1
- Dietschy, J.M., Moore, E.W. 1964. Diffusion potentials and potassium distribution across the gallbladder wall. *J. Clin. Invest.* 43:1551
- Duffey, M.E., Turnheim, K., Frizzell, R.A., Schultz, S.G. 1978. Intracellular chloride activities in rabbit gallbladder: Direct evidence for the role of the sodium-gradient in energizing "uphill" chloride transport. *J. Membrane Biol.* 42:229
- Frizzetl, R.A., Dugas, M.C., Schultz, S.G. 1975. Sodium chloride transport by rabbit gallbladder. Direct evidence for a coupled NaC1 influx process. *J. Gen. Physiol.* **65:**  769
- Fr6mter, E. 1972. The route of passive ion movement through the epithelium of *Necturus*  gallbladder. *J. Membrane Biol.* 8:259
- Frömter, E., Diamond, J.M. 1972. Route of passive ion permeation in epithelia. *Nature New Biol.* 235:9
- Helman, S.I., Nagel, W. 1977. Microelectrode studies of frog skin. Effects of ouabain. *Fed. Proc.* 36:632a
- H6nin, S., Cremaschi, D. 1975. Transcellular ion route in rabbit gallbladder. Electrical properties of the epithelial cells. *Pfluegers Arch.* 355:125
- Hill, A.E., Hill, B.S. 1978a. Sucrose fluxes and junctional water flow across *Necturus*  gallbladder epithelium. *Proc. R. Soc. London B* 200:163
- Hill, B.S., Hill, A.E. 1978b. Fluid transfer by *Necturus* gallbladder epithelium as a function of osmolarity. *Proc. R. Soc. London B* 200:151
- Lewis, S.A., Wills, N.K., Eaton, D.C. 1978. Basolateral membrane potential of a tight epithelium. Ionic diffusion and electrogenic pumps. *J. Membrane Biol.* 41:117
- Machen, T.E., Diamond, J.M. 1969. An estimate of the salt concentration in the lateral intercellular spaces of rabbit gallbladder during maximal fluid transport. *J. Membrane Biol.* 1 : 194
- Martin, D.W. 1974. The effect of the bicarbonate ion on the gallbladder salt pump. J. *Membrane Biol.* 18:219
- Martin, D.W., Murphy, B. 1974. Carbamyl phosphate and glutamine stimulation of the gallbladder salt pump. *J. Membrane Biol.* 18:231
- Moreno, J.H., Diamond, J.M. 1976. Cation permeation mechanism and cation selectivity in "tight junctions" of gallbladder epithelium. *In:* Membranes - A Series of Advances. G. Eisenman, editor, p. 383, Dekker, New York
- Ogden, T.E., Citron, M.C., Pierantoni, R. 1978. The jet stream microbeveler: An inexpensive way to bevel ultrafine glass micropipettes. *Science* 201:469
- Reuss, L. 1978. Effects of amphotericin B on the electrical properties of *Necturus*  gallbladder: Intracellular microelectrode studies. *J. Membrane Biol.* 41:65
- Reuss, L. 1979. Ion conductances and electrochemical gradients across membranes of gallbladder epithelium. *In:* Membrane Transport Processes. Vol.4. Cellular Mechanisms of Renal Tubular Ion Transport. E. Boulpaep, editor. Raven, New York *(in press)*
- Reuss, L., Bello-Reuss, E., Grady, T.P. 1979. Effects of ouabain on fluid transport and electrical properties of *Necturus* gallbladder. Evidence in favor of a neutral basolateral sodium transport mechanism. *J. Gen. Physiol. (in press)*
- Reuss, L., Finn, A.L. 1975a. Electrical properties of the cellular transepithelial pathway in *Necturus* gallbladder. I. Circuit analysis and steady-state effects of mucosal solution ionic substitutions. *J. Membrane Biol.* 25:115
- Reuss, L., Finn, A.L. 1975b. Electrical properties of the cellular transepithelial pathway in *Necturus* gallbladder. II. Ionic permeability of the apical cell membrane. J. *Membrane Biol.* 25:141
- Reuss, L., Finn, A.L. 1977. Effects of luminal hyperosmolality on electrical pathways of *Necturus* gallbladder. *Am. J. Physiol.* 232:C99
- Rose, R.C. 1978. Electrolyte absorption by gallbladders: Models of transport. *Life Sei.*  23:1517
- Rose, R.C., Nahrwold, D.L. 1976. Electrolyte transport by gallbladders of rabbit and guinea pig: Effect of amphotericiu B and evidence of rheogenic Na transport. J. *Membrane Biol.* 29:1
- Schafer, J.A., Patlak, C.S., Andreoli, T.E. 1975. A component of fluid absorption linked to passive ion flows in the superficial pars recta. *J. Gen. Physiol.* 66:445
- Schultz, S.G. 1972. Electrical potential differences and electromotive forces in epithelial tissues. *J. Gen. Physiol*. **59:**794
- Spring, K.R., Kimura, G. 1978. Chloride reabsorption by renal proximal tubules of *Necturus. J. Membrane Biol.* 38:233
- Suzuki, K., Fr6mter, E. 1977. The potential and resistence profile of *Necturus* gallbladder cells. *Pfluegers Arch.* 371:109
- Van Os, G.H., Slegers, J.F.G. 1975. The electrical potential profile of gallbladder epithelium. *J. Membrane Biol.* 24:341
- Wheeler, H.O. 1963. Transport of electrolytes and water across wall of rabbit gallbladder. *Am. J. Physiol.* 205:427
- Whittembury, G. 1971. Relationship between sodium extrusion and electrical potentials in kidney cells. *In:* Electrophysiology of Epithelial Cells. G. Giebisch, editor, p. 153. Schattauer-Verlag, Stuttgart
- Wright, E.M., Smulders, A.P., Tormey, J.Mc.D. 1972. The role of the lateral intercellular spaces and solute polarization effects in the passive flow of water across the rabbit gallbladder. *J. Membrane Biol.* 7:198
- Zeuthen, T. 1978. Intracellular gradients of ion activities in the epithelial cells of the *Necturus* gallbladder recorded with ion-selective microelectrodes. *J. Membrane Biol.*  39:185